

TELEPHONE No. 966.

Philadelphia, April 6th, 1888. 188

Hon. Daniel M. Fox. Supt. U. S. Mint.

Dear Sir:-

We respectfully ask your consideration to following statements of facts. You placed with us an order for the covering of certain steam pipe in the U. S. Mint for the net sum of \$175. You also placed with us at same time a supplementary order for the covering of steam pipes in the seperating room to be charged for on same basis as estimate, all embraced in one written order #754. We promptly sent goods to the Mint, charging them against your requisition. On completition of the work, we credited all goods returned. The amount then standing as charged against the Mint was \$270. We deducted the amount of our original estimate \$175 from this gross charge, and it showed \$95 as the charge for presumable additional work in seperating room. Upon presentation of bill we were informed by Mr. James that as a matter of fact, goods furnished for seperating room did not figure to amount charged -- \$95. We then made careful measurement of this special order, and found Mr. James was correct. The material and labor in seperating room amounting to but \$63.77. (You will note please that originally we made no memorandum as to what particular location in Mint the goods were placed, but charged all to U. S. Mint, thus making this error possible. In conclusion we respectfully state that we were evidently in error in making our original proposition. That we have given full value for the gross amount of our bill \$270, that a serious loss will be entailed upon us, should we fail to receive this amount, in return for said labor and material, furnished in excess of our estimate. We further respectfully beg, that you will give the subject equitable consideration, and if in your judgment we should be paid for the material, and labor, we shall be under obligations. Very Respectfully.

Macan Pechin ven

The Magnesia Sectional Covering Company Macan, Pechin & Co. Philadelphia, April 6, 1888

Hon. Daniel M. Fox. Supt. U.S. Mint.

Dear Sir: -

We respectfully ask your consideration to following statements of facts. You placed with us an order for the covering of certain steam pipe in the U.S. Mint for the net sum of \$175. You also placed with us at same time a supplementary order for the covering of steam pipes in the separating room to be charged for on same basis as estimate, all embraced in one written order #754. We promptly sent goods to the Mint, charging them against your requisition. On completion of the work, we credited all goods returned. The amount then standing as charged against the Mint was \$270. We deducted the amount of our original estimate \$175 from this gross charge, and it showed \$95 as the charge for presumable additional work in separating room. Upon presentation of bill we were informed by Mr. James that as a matter of fact, goods furnished for separating room did not figure to amount charged -- \$95. We then made careful measurement of this special order, and found Mr. James was correct. The material and labor in separating room amounting to but \$63.77. (You will note please that originally we made no memorandum as to what particular location in Mint the goods were placed, but charged all to U.S. Mint, thus making this error possible. In conclusion we respectfully state that we were evidently in error in making our original proposition. That we have given full value for the gross amount of our bill \$270, that a serious loss will be entailed upon us, should we fail to receive this amount, in return for said labor and material, furnished in excess of our estimate. We further respectfully beg, that you will give the subject equitable consideration, and if in your judgement we should be paid for the material, and labor, we shall be under obligations.

Very Respectfully, Macan Pechin & Co.

P.S. We solicit a reply at your convenience.